



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

सोमवार, 10 जुलाई, 2017 / 19 आषाढ़, 1939

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 11th April, 2016

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2016-Chamba.—Whereas Shri Bajro S/O Shri Dass Ram, R/O Village Bhatwara, P.O. Radi, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 30.05.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation

Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 7 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 4.2.2013;

And whereas Shri Bajro S/O Shri Dass Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 142/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 22.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Bajro S/O Shri Dass Ram, R/O Village Bhatwara, P.O. Radi, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. during February, 2003 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 7 years vide demand notice dated 30.05.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 7 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001. the 25 May, 2016*

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Jagjeet Singh S/O Shri Biru Ram, R/O Village Dev Bharari, P.O. Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.7.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 20 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 29.4.2015;

And whereas Shri Jagjeet Singh S/O Shri Biru Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 244/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 23.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Jagjeet Singh S/O Shri Biru Ram, R/O Village Dev Bharari, P.O. Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during December, 1990 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 20 years vide demand notice dated 23.07.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 20 years in raising the industrial dispute, what

amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above exworker is entitled to from the above employer /management?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 13th July, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Jan Mohammad S/O Shri Firoj Deen, R/O Village Nihad, P.O. Aundh, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated nil-received in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 13.06.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 20 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 18.09.2013;

And whereas Shri Jan Mohammad S/O Shri Firoj Deen agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 783/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 7.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

"3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial

Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Jan Mohammad S/O Shri Firoj Deen, R/O Village Nihad, P.O. Aundh, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 20 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 13.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 20 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 26th April, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Jiwan Lal S/O Shri Amar Chand, R/O Village and Post Office Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.7.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 8.12.2014;

And whereas Shri Jiwan Lal S/O Shri Amar Chand agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 245/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 25.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*

4. *The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Jiwan Lal S/O Shri Amar Chand, R/O Village and Post Office Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during February, 1990 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages for 68 days during year, 1987, for 171 days during year, 1988, for 27 days during year, 1989 and 40 days during year, 1990 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 21 years vide demand notice dated 23.7.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, keeping in view of working period of 68 days during year, 1987, for 171 days during year, 1988, for 27 days during year, 1989 and 40 days during year, 1990 respectively and delay of more than 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above exworker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30 May, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.— Whereas Shri Joginder Singh S/O Shri Hari Singh, R/O Village Lunder, P.O. Khel, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated nil-received in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 13.06.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate

Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of about 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 31.8.2013;

And whereas Shri Joginder Singh S/O Shri Hari Singh agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 812/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 7.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

"3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

"Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Joginder Singh S/O Shri Hari Singh, R/O Village Lunder, P.O. Khel, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after about 21 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 13.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of about 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 11th April, 2016

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2016-Chamba.—Whereas Shri Karam Chand S/O Shri Mohan, R/O Village Chanehla, P.O. Preena, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated

30.05.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 7 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 5.2.2013;

And whereas Shri Karam Chand S/O Shri Mohan agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 150/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 22.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

"3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

"Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Karam Chand S/O Shri Mohan, R/O Village Chanehla, P.O. Preena, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. during February, 2003 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 7 years vide demand notice dated 30.05.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 7 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 6th May, 2016*

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.— Whereas Shri Karnail Singh S/O Shri Dhian Chand, R/O Village Niari, P.O. Gurchal, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.7.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of about 24 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 2.12.2014;

And whereas Shri Karnail Singh S/O Shri Dhian Chand agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 254/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 23.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Karnail Singh S/O Shri Dhian Chand, R/O Village Niari, P.O. Gurchal, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during July, 1987 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages for 94 days during year, 1986 and for 120 days during year, 1987 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute after about 24 years vide demand notice dated 23.7.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, keeping in view of working period of 94 days during year, 1986 and for 120 days during year, 1987 respectively and delay of about 24 years in raising the

industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30th May, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Kash Mohhamad S/O Shri Swarli (Subarli), R/O Village Nihad, P.O. Aund, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated-nil-received in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 13.06.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of about 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 22.8.2013;

And whereas Shri Kash Mohhamad S/O Shri Swarli (Subarli) agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 788/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 7.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

"3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th

February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Kash Mohhamad S/O Shri Swarli (Subarli), R/O Village Nihad, P.O. Aund, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after about 21 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 13.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of about 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 26th April, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.— Whereas Shri Kishan Bahadur S/O Shri Dubel Singh, R/O Village Khajjan, P.O. Sadwan, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated-nil-received in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 13.06.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the (1) Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 20 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 18.09.2013;

And whereas Shri Kishan Bahadur S/O Shri Dubel Singh agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 723/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 31.3.2016 and directed the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to make reference to the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court within six weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to quash the impugned order in the writ petition and direct the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to make reference to the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court within six weeks from today. Ordered accordingly. The writ petition is disposed of, as indicated hereinabove, along with all pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Kishan Bahadur S/O Shri Dubel Singh, R/O Village Khajjan, P.O. Sadwan, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 20 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 13.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 20 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 25 May, 2016

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2016-Chamba.— Whereas Shri Kuldeep S/O Shri Mankoo Ram, R/O Village Chanehla, P.O. Preena, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 30.5.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum- Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 7 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 5.2.2013;

And whereas Shri Kuldeep S/O Shri Mankoo Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of

Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 737/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 6.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

"3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

"Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Kuldeep S/O Shri Mankoo Ram, R/O Village Chanehla, P.O. Preena, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. during March, 2004 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 7 years vide demand notice dated 30.5.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 7 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30 May, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.— Whereas Shri Labhu Ram S/O Shri Relu Ram, R/O Village Kot- Plahari, P.O. Kot, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated-nilreceived in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 13.06.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the

course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 20 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 31.08.2013;

And whereas Shri Labhu Ram S/O Shri Relu Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 789/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 7.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Labhu Ram S/O Shri Relu Ram, R/O Village Kot-Plahari, P.O. Kot, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 20 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 13.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 20 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer /management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 18th April, 2016*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2016-Mandi (Part-II).—Whereas Shri Lajja Ram S/O Shri Mangat Ram, R/O Village Jod, P.O. and Tehsil Thunag, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.08.2008 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of about 8 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 14.11.2014;

And whereas Shri Lajja Ram S/O Shri Mangat Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 4856/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 6.1.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Lajja Ram S/O Shri Mangat Ram, R/O Village Jod, P.O. and Tehsil Thunag, District Mandi, H.P. during November, 2000 by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages for 83 days during year, 1998, 199 days during year, 1999 and 200 days during year, 2000 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute after about 8 years vide demand notice dated 23.08.2008, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, keeping in view of working period of 83 days during year, 1998, 199 days during year, 1999 and 200 days during year,

2000 respectively and delay of about 8 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 17 March, 2016

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2015-Chamba.—Whereas Smt. Lal Dei W/O Shri Jagdish Chand, R/O Village Karel, P.O. Ponto, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 06.10.211 regarding her illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 7 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 30.03.2013;

And whereas Smt. Lal Dei W/O Shri Jagdish Chand agitated the above orders of declining of reference of her industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 128/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 22.02.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

"3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-

Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Smt. Lal Dei W/O Shri Jagdish Chand, R/O Village Karel, P.O. Ponto, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. during September, 2004 by the Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D. Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised her industrial dispute after more than 7 years vide demand notice dated 06.10.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 7 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 1st July, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Maggar Singh S/O Shri Dalku Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Aundh, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated nil- received in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 13.06.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of after about 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 22.08.2013;

And whereas Shri Maggar Singh S/O Shri Dalku Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 816/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 7.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*

4. *The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Maggar Singh S/O Shri Dalku Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Aundh, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after about 21 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 13.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of about 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/ management?”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30 May, 2016

No. 11-3/93(Lab) ID/2016-Kullu.—Whereas Shri Mehar Chand S/O Shri Lot Ram, R/O Village Sees, P.O. Thela, District Kullu, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 11.03.2014 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Senior Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B.L. Kullu, District Kullu, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kullu, District Kullu, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kullu, District Kullu, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 14 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 4.7.2015;

And whereas Shri Mehar Chand S/O Shri Lot Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of

Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 4189/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 27.10.2015 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

"3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

"Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Mehar Chand S/O Shri Lot Ram, R/O Village Sees, P.O. Thela, District Kullu, H.P. w.e.f. 26.05.1999 by the Senior Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B.L. Kullu, District Kullu, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 14 years vide demand notice dated 11.03.2014, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 14 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30 May, 2016

No. 11-3/93(Lab) ID/2016-Kullu.—Whereas Shri Chaman Lal S/O Shri Septi Ram, R/O Village Aaisha, P.O. Thela, District Kullu, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 11.03.2014 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Senior Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B.L. Kullu, District Kullu, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kullu, District Kullu, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kullu, District Kullu, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate

Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 18 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 29.6.2015;

And whereas Shri Chaman Lal S/O Shri Septi Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 4189/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 27.10.2015 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Chaman Lal S/O Shri Septi Ram, R/O Village Aaisha, P.O. Thela, District Kullu, H.P. w.e.f. 26.10.1995 by the Senior Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B.L. Kullu, District Kullu, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 18 years vide demand notice dated 11.03.2014, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 18 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30 May, 2016

No. 11-3/93(Lab) ID/2016-Kullu.—Whereas Shri Salig Ram S/O Shri Man Chand, R/O Village Najan, P.O. Thela, District Kullu, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 29.04.2014 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Senior Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B.L. Kullu, District Kullu, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kullu, District Kullu, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kullu, District Kullu, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 16 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 1.7.2015;

And whereas Shri Salig Ram S/O Shri Man Chand agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 4189/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 27.10.2015 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Salig Ram S/O Shri Man Chand, R/O Village Najan, P.O. Thela, District Kullu, H.P. w.e.f. 26.3.1998 by the Senior Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B.L. Kullu, District Kullu, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 16 years vide demand notice dated 29.4.2014, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 16 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 30 May, 2016*

No. 11-3/93(Lab) ID/2016-Kullu.—Whereas Shri Ram Singh S/O Shri Septi Ram, R/O Village Najan, P.O. Thella, District Kullu, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 11.3.2014 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Senior Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B.L. Kullu, District Kullu, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kullu, District Kullu, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kullu, District Kullu, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 15 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 29.6.2015;

And whereas Shri Ram Singh S/O Shri Septi Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 4189/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 27.10.2015 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Ram Singh S/O Shri Septi Ram, R/O Village Najan, P.O. Thella, District Kullu, H.P. w.e.f. 26.5.1998 by the Senior Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, H.P.S.E.B.L. Kullu, District Kullu, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 15 years vide demand notice dated 11.3.2014, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 15 years in

raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 1st July, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Milap Chand S/O Shri Gian Chand, R/O Village Keyod Garian, P.O Chowki, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated-nil-received in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 13.06.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of after about 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 22.08.2013;

And whereas Shri Milap Chand S/O Shri Gian Chand agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 781/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 7.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

"3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th

February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Milap Chand S/O Shri Gian Chand, R/O Village Keyod Garian, P.O Chowki, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after about 21 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 13.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of about 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30 May, 2016

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2016-Mandi.—Whereas Shri Mohan Singh S/O Lt. Shri Inder Singh, R/O Village Aran, P.O. Katindi, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 28.11.2013 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Padhar, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mnadi District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 18 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 9.12.2014;

And whereas Shri Mohan Singh S/O Lt. Shri Inder Singh agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 4813/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 11.5.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioner, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*

4. *The writ petition is disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Mohan Singh S/O Lt. Shri Inder Singh, R/O Village Aran, P.O. Katindi, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 21.03.1995 by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Padhar, District Mandi, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 18 years vide demand notice dated 28.11.2013, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 18 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 18th May, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Naresh Kumar S/O Shri Gorkh Ram, R/O Village Dev Bharari, P.O. Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.7.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of about 23 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 2.12.2014;

And whereas Shri Naresh Kumar S/O Shri Gorkh Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 257/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal

Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 25.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Naresh Kumar S/O Shri Gorkh Ram, R/O Village Dev Bharari, P.O. Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during November, 1988 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages for 5 days during year, 1986, for 158 ½ days during year, 1987 and for 45 days during year, 1988 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute after about 23 years vide demand notice dated 23.7.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, keeping in view of working period of 5 days during year, 1986, 158 ½ days during year, 1987 and for 45 days during year, 1988 respectively and delay of about 23 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 6th July, 2016

No.: 11-2/86(Lab)ID/2016/Bilaspur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sant Ram S/O Shri Bhagwanu Ram, R/O Village Palsehar, P.O. Lehari, Tehsil Shri Naina Deviji, District Bilaspur, H.P. and (i) the Partners, M/S Universal Electric Engineers, Dalhousie Road Pathankot, Punjab (Contractor) and (ii) the Executive Engineer, Changer Area Lift Irrigation Project Division Bassi, District Bilaspur, H.P.(Principal Employer) on the issue of alleged termination of his services w.e.f. 01-07-2012.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of services of Shri Sant Ram S/O Shri Bhagwanu Ram, R/O Village Palsehar, P.O. Lehari, Tehsil Shri Naina Deviji, District Bilaspur, H.P. w.e.f. 01-07-2012 by (i) the Partners, M/S Universal Electric Engineers, Dalhousie Road Pathankot, Punjab (Contractor) and (ii) the Executive Engineer, Changer Area Lift Irrigation Project Division Bassi, District Bilaspur, H.P.(Principal Employer), without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employers?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 6th July, 2016

No.: 11-5/99(Lab)ID/2016/Chamba.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between of Shri Sunil Kumar S/O Shri Pritam Chand, R/O Village Lahar, P.O. Tikkari, Tehsil Sinhuta, District Chamba, H.P. and (i) the Employer/Managing Director, M/S Dehar II Small Hydro Electric Project, V.P.O. Tikri, Sub Tehsil Sihunta, District Chamba, H.P.(Site Office) (ii) the Employer, M/S Saini Techno Constructs Private Limited, 236, Bajri Company, Burmah Shell Road, Pathankot, Punjab (Present Office) on the issue of alleged termination of his services w.e.f. 12-11-2014.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of

1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Sunil Kumar S/O Shri Pritam Chand, R/O Village Lahar, P.O. Tikkari, Tehsil Sinhuta, District Chamba, H.P. w.e.f. 12-11-2014 by (i) the Employer/Managing Director, M/S Dehar II Small Hydro Electric Project, V.P.O. Tikri, Sub Tehsil Sihunta, District Chamba, H.P.(Site Office) (ii) the Employer/Management, M/S Saini Techno Constructs Private Limited, 236, Bajri Company, Burmah Shell Road, Pathankot, Punjab (Present Office) without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 6th July, 2016

No.: 11-2/86(Lab)ID/2016/Bilaspur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Surender Kumar S/O Shri Daya Ram, R/O Village Roura Jaman, P.O. Tarsu, Tehsil Shri Naina Deviji, District Bilaspur, H.P. and (i) the Partners, M/S Universal Electric Engineers, Dalhousie Road Pathankot, Punjab (Contractor) and (ii) the Executive Engineer, Changer Area Lift Irrigation Project Division Bassi, District Bilaspur, H.P.(Principal Employer) on the issue of alleged termination of his services w.e.f. 01-07-2012.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of services of Shri Surender Kumar S/O Shri Daya Ram, R/O Village Roura Jaman, P.O. Tarsu, Tehsil Shri Naina Deviji, District Bilaspur, H.P. w.e.f. 01-07-2012 by (i) the Partners, M/S Universal Electric Engineers, Dalhousie Road Pathankot, Punjab (Contractor) and (ii) the Executive Engineer, Changer Area Lift Irrigation Project Division Bassi, District Bilaspur, H.P.(Principal Employer), without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of

back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employers?"

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 28th March, 2017

No.: 11-2/86(Lab)ID/2017/Bilaspur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Banita Devi W/O Shri Dev Raj, R/O V.P.O. Nihari, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P. and (i) the Chief Medical Officer, Regional Hospital, Bilaspur, H.P. (Principal employer) (ii) the Proprietor M/S Shimla Cleanways, H.O. Sahibu Niwas, Sector-2, New Shimla, H.P. (contractor) on the issue of alleged termination of her daily wages services w.e.f. 26-04-2016.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Smt. Banita Devi W/O Shri Dev Raj, R/O V.P.O. Nihari, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P. w.e.f. 26-04-2016 by (i) the Chief Medical Officer, Regional Hospital, Bilaspur, H.P. (Principal employer) (ii) the Proprietor, M/S Shimla Cleanways, H.O. Sahibu Niwas, Sector-2, New Shimla, H.P. (contractor), without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employers/management?”

By order,
Sd/-
Joint Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-171001, the 29th May, 2017

No.: 11-2/86Lab)ID/2017/Bilaspur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Bishnu Ram S/O Shri Sant Ram, R/O V.P.O. Sunhani, Tehsil Jhanduta, District Bilaspur, H.P. and (i) the Employer/Manager, M/S GVK EMRI, J P Motors Building, Village Anji, Barog Bye Pass Solan, District Solan, H.P. (ii) the Mission Director, National Health Rural Mission, Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla (iii) the Employer/Managing Director, M/S Adecco Flexione Workforce Solutions Private Limited, C-127, Basement Level, Satguru Infotech, Phase-VIII, Industrial Area Mohali. Punjab (Contractor company) (iv) the Managing Director, M/S Adecco India Private Limited, No. 2, NAL Wind Tunnel Road, Murugeshpalya, Bangalore. (Corporate Office) on the issue of alleged termination of his services w.e.f. 06-02-2013.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Bishnu Ram S/O Shri Sant Ram, R/O V.P.O. Sunhani, Tehsil Jhanduta, District Bilaspur, H.P. w.e.f. 06-02-2013 by (i) the Employer/Manager, M/S GVK EMRI, J P Motors Building, Village Anji, Barog Bye Pass Solan, District Solan, H.P. (ii) the Mission Director, National Health Rural Mission, Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla (iii) the Employer/Managing Director, M/S Adecco Flexione Workforce Solutions Private Limited, C-127, Basement Level, Satguru Infotech, Phase-VIII, Industrial Area Mohali. Punjab (Contractor company) (iv) the Managing Director, M/S Adecco India Private Limited, No. 2, NAL Wind Tunnel Road, Murugeshpalya, Bangalore. (Corporate Office), without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employers/ Management?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

PLANNING DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Dated: 05th July, 2017*

No.PLG-B(6)-2/2014-L.—In continuation of this Department notification of even number dated 12/06/2017 vide which Sh. Tara Chand Chauhan, has been re-employed on the post of Ex-cadre District Planning Officer Shimla, in the Planning Department, Himachal Pradesh Shimla-2 for a period of six month. The Governor Himachal Pradesh is pleased to fix the following terms and conditions.

Salary	His pay shall be fixed in accordance with the provision of H.P. State Civil Services (Fixation of Pay on re-employed pensioners) Orders, 1988 circulated vide Finance Department OM N0.Fin(C) B (7)-10/84 dated 1.12.1988, OM.N0.Fin(C)-B (7)-10/98 dated 8th September, 1999 and read with O.M. No. Fin(C) B (2)13/2009 dated 19th December, 2010 or amended from time to time.
Tenure	The tenure of re-employment shall be for a period of six month or upto 31/12/2017. Provided that the Government can terminate this appointment even before the expiry of the above period by serving him a notice of one month in advance or by paying one month's salary in lieu of notice of one month, in case the conduct and performance of the incumbent is not found satisfactory or if the Government decides to fill up the post, in question, by regular appointment, as the case may be.
TA/DA	He shall be entitled to TA/DA as admissible to him before his re-employment.
Leave	The provisions of CCS (Leave) Rules, 1972, Shall not be applicable to the reemployed pensioner and he will not be entitled to LTC/HTLTC facility. However, will be entitled to one casual leave for each completed month.
Medical Facilities	He shall be entitled to avail medical facilities and a grant of reimbursement of Medical Expenses as is admissible under the relevant rules / instructions of the State Government.
Residential	He shall be entitled to the allotment of Government Residential accommodation, if the Accommodation is available or otherwise he will be entitled to receive House
Accommodation	Rent Allowance as per State Government Rules and instructions, in this regard.
Gratuity and Death-cum-Retirement Gravity	He shall not be eligible for Gratuity and Death-cum-Retirement Gratuity for the period of said re-employment.

Other Facilities	He Shall be entitled to the allowances as were admissible to him before his reemployment or as admissible for the post against which he has been reemployed. There will be no enhancement in salary on re-employment.
Other Facilities	He Shall be entitled to the allowances as were admissible to him before his reemployment or as admissible for the post against which he has been reemployed. There will be no enhancement in salary on re-employment.

By order,

Sd/-

Addl. Chief Secy. (Planning).

[AUTHORITATIVE ENGLISH TEXT OF THIS DEPARTMENT NOTIFICATION No.TCP-F(5)-11/2016 SHIMLA, DATED 5.7.2017 AS REQUIRED UNDER CLAUSE (3) OF ARTICLE 348 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA].

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 5th July, 2017

No.TCP-F(5)-11/2016.—WHEREAS, the revised draft Development Plan for Rampur Planning Area was published by the Director, Town and Country Planning Department, Himachal Pradesh under sub-section (1) of section 19 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1977 (Act No. 12 of 1977) read with rule 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Town and Country Planning Rules, 2014 vide Notice No. HIM/TP/PJT/D.P. Rampur/2010/Vol-IV/9450-68 dated 17.05.2016 in the Rajpatra, Himachal Pradesh on 25th May, 2016 for inviting objection(s) and suggestion(s), which were duly considered and modifications were made, wherever required and same was submitted to the Government for approval on 24.11.2016;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 20 of the Act *ibid*, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to approve the revised Development Plan for Rampur Planning Area, without any modification and the same is hereby published in the Official Gazette as required under sub-section (4) of section 20 of the Act *ibid*. A Notice is hereby given that a copy of the said revised Development Plan is available for inspection during office hours in the following offices, namely:—

1. The Director,
Town and Country Planning Department,
Nagar Yojana Bhawan, Block No. 32-A, Vikas Nagar,
Kasumpti, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh-171009.
2. The Assistant Town Planner,
Sub-Divisional Town Planning Office,
Rampur, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.

3. The Executive Officer,
Municipal Council, Rampur,
District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.

By order,
MANISHA NANDA
Addl. Chief Secretary (TCP).

नगर एवं ग्राम योजना विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 05 जुलाई, 2017

संख्या. टी0सी0पी0-एफ(5)-11/2016.-संशोधित परमाणु योजना क्षेत्र के लिए विकास योजना के प्रारूप को, हिमाचल प्रदेश नगर और ग्राम योजना अधिनियम, 1977 (1977 का अधिनियम संख्यांक 12) की धारा 19 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन आक्षेप (पों) और सुझाव (वों) को आमंत्रित करने के लिए निदेशक, नगर एवं योजना विभाग, हिमाचल प्रदेश द्वारा, नोटिस संख्या:हिम/टीपी/पीजेटी/डी.पी.परमाणु/2009/वोल्यूम-IV/9450-68 दिनांक 17.5.2016 द्वारा प्रकाशित किया गया था किन्तु नियत अवधि के भीतर कोई आक्षेप या सुझाव प्राप्त नहीं हुआ है/हुए है।

इसलिए, विकास योजना में कोई उपान्तरण नहीं किए गए हैं।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश नगर और ग्राम योजना अधिनियम, 1977 (1977 का अधिनियम संख्यांक 12) की धारा 20 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन निहित शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, परमाणु योजना क्षेत्र के लिए संशोधित विकास योजना को बिना किसी उपान्तरण के अनुमोदित करते हैं और इसे पूर्वोक्त अधिनियम की धारा 20 की उपधारा (4) के अधीन यथा अपेक्षित के अनुसार राजपत्र में एतद्वारा प्रकाशित किया जाता है। एतद्वारा नोटिस दिया जाता है कि उक्त संशोधित विकास योजना की प्रति निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों में कार्यालय समय के दौरान निरीक्षण हेतु उपलब्ध रहेगी:-

1. निदेशक,
नगर एवं ग्राम योजना विभाग,
कसुम्पटी, शिमला-171009.
2. सहायक नगर योजनाकार, उप-मण्डलीय
नगर योजना कार्यालय, परवाणु, जिला सोलन।
3. कार्यकारी अधिकारी
नगर परिषद्, परमाणु, जिला सोलन।

उक्त संशोधित परमाणु योजना योजना इस अधिसूचना के राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश के प्रकाशन की तारीख से प्रवर्तित होगी।

आदेश द्वारा,
मनीषा नन्दा
अतिरिक्त मुख्य सचिव (टी0सी0पी0)।

नगर एवं ग्राम योजना विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 5 जुलाई, 2017

संख्या. टी0सी0पी0-एफ(5)-2/2017.—कुल्लू घाटी योजना क्षेत्र की कुल्लू-भुन्तर अग्लामरेशन के लिए विकास विकास योजना के प्रारूप को, हिमाचल प्रदेश नगर और ग्राम योजना नियम, 2014 के नियम 11 के साथ पठित हिमाचल प्रदेश नगर और ग्राम योजना अधिनियम, 1977 (1977 का अधिनियम संख्यांक 12) की धारा 19 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन निदेशक, नगर एवं योजना विभाग, हिमाचल प्रदेश के नोटिस संख्या: हिम/टीपी/पीजेटी/डी.पी. कुल्लू-भुन्तर/2004/वोल्यूम-IV/16673-89 तारीख 18.11.2016 द्वारा राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश में तारीख 21 नवम्बर, 2016 को आक्षेप (पों) और सुझाव (वों) को आमंत्रित करने के लिए प्रकाशित किया गया था जिन पर सम्यक् रूप से विचार किया गया और जहां कहीं अपेक्षित था, उपान्तरण किए गए और जिन्हें तारीख 11.04. 2017 को सरकार को अनुमोदन के लिए प्रस्तुत किया गया था;

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, पूर्वोक्त अधिनियम की धारा 20 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, कुल्लू घाटी योजना क्षेत्र की कुल्लू-भुन्तर अग्लामरेशन के लिए विकास योजना को बिना किसी उपान्तरण के अनुमोदित करते हैं और उसे पूर्वोक्त अधिनियम की धारा 20 की उपधारा (4) के अधीन यथा अपेक्षित राजपत्र में प्रकाशित करते हैं। एतद्वारा नोटिस दिया जाता है कि उक्त विकास योजना की प्रति कार्यालय समय के दौरान निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों में निरीक्षण हेतु उपलब्ध रहेगी, अर्थातः—

1. निदेशक,
नगर एवं ग्राम योजना विभाग,
कसुम्पटी, शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश-171009.
2. नगर एवं ग्राम योजनाकार,
मण्डलीय नगर योजना कार्यालय, कुल्लू
जिला शिमला-171009.
3. कार्यकारी अधिकारी,
नगरपालिका परिषद् कुल्लू, जिला कुल्लू।
4. सचिव,
नगर पंचायत भुन्तर, जिला कुल्लू।

उक्त विकास योजना इस अधिसूचना के राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख से प्रवर्तन में आएगी।

आदेश द्वारा,
मनीषा नन्दा
अतिरिक्त मुख्य सचिव (टी0सी0पी0)।

[AUTHORITATIVE ENGLISH TEXT OF THIS DEPARTMENT NOTIFICATION No. TCP-F(5)-2/2017 SHIMLA, DATED 5.7.2017 AS REQUIRED UNDER CLAUSE (3) OF ARTICLE 348 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA].

**TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT
NOTIFICATION**

Shimla-2, the 5th July, 2017

No.TCP-F(5)-2/2017.—WHEREAS, the draft Development Plan for Kullu-Bhunter Agglomeration of Kullu Valley Planning Area was published by the Director, Town and Country Planning Department, Himachal Pradesh under sub-section (1) of section 19 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1977 (Act No. 12 of 1977) read with rule 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Town and Country Planning Rules, 2014 vide Notice No. HIM/TP/PJT/D.P. Kullu-Bhunter/2004/Vol-IV/16673-89 dated 18.11.2016 in the Rajpatra, Himachal Pradesh on 21st November, 2016 for inviting objection(s) and suggestion(s), which were duly considered and modifications were made, wherever required and same was submitted to the Government for approval on 11.04.2017;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 20 of the Act *ibid*, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to approve the Development Plan for Kullu-Bhunter Agglomeration of Kullu Valley Planning Area, without any modification and the same is hereby published in the Official Gazette as required under subsection (4) of section 20 of the Act *ibid*. A Notice is hereby given that a copy of the said Development Plan is available for inspection during office hours in the following offices, namely:—

1. The Director,
Town and Country Planning Department,
Nagar Yojana Bhawan, Block No. 32-A, Vikas Nagar,
Kasumpti, Shimla-9.
2. The Town and Country Planner,
Divisional Town Planning Office,
Kullu, District Kullu, Himachal Pradesh.
3. The Executive Officer,
Municipal Council, Kullu,
District Kullu, Himachal Pradesh.
4. The Secretary,
Nagar Panchayat Bhunter,
District, Kullu. H.P.

The said Development Plan shall come into operation from the date of publication of this Notification in the official Gazette.

By order,
MANISHA NANDA
Addl. Chief Secretary (TCP).

**कार्यालय निर्वाचन अधिकारी केन्द्रीय भारतीय चिकित्सा परिषद निर्वाचन क्षेत्रिय आयुर्वेदिक अस्पताल,
शिमला-171002**

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171009, 12 जून, 2017

संख्या.के.चि.प.(निर्वाचन)-1/2017-23-55.—मैं डा० राज कृष्ण परुथी, निर्वाचन अधिकारी, केन्द्रीय भारतीय चिकित्सा परिषद अधिनियम, 1975 की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) एवं 7 में प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य से केन्द्रीय भारतीय चिकित्सा परिषद, नई दिल्ली के लिये आयुर्वेदिक चिकित्सा पद्धति से एक आयुर्वेदिक सदस्य के निर्वाचन हेतु निम्नलिखित निर्वाचन कार्यक्रम अधिसूचित करता हूँ :-

क्रमांक	कार्यक्रम	दिनांक एवं समय
1	तैयार मतदाता सूचियों का प्रकाशन	12.06.2017
2	जिला आयुर्वेदिक कार्यालयों को मतदाताओं के सूचनार्थ नोटिस का प्रेषण।	13.06.2017
3	तैयार मतदाता सूचियों के सन्दर्भ में आपत्तियां दर्ज करवाना	01.07.2017 से 06.07.2017
4	आपत्तियों पर सुनवाई	07.07.2017
5	अन्तिम मतदाता सूचियों का प्रकाशन	18.07.2017
6	नामांकन दाखिल करने की तिथियाँ	19.07.2017 से 22.07.2017
7	नामांकन पत्रों की संवीक्षा	24.07.2017
8	नामांकन वापिस लेने की तिथि	01.08.2017
9	वैध रूप से नामांकित अभ्यर्थियों की सूची का प्रदर्शन एवं सरकारी राजपत्र में प्रकाशन।	02.08.2017
10	निर्वाचन सामाग्री के मुद्रण हेतु अपेक्षित समय	11.08.2017 तक
11	मतदाताओं को मतपत्रों का प्रेषण	14.08.2017 से
12	निर्वाचन अधिकारी द्वारा मतदाताओं से मतपत्रों की प्राप्ति	13.09.2017 सायं 5 वजे तक
13	मतपत्रों की संवीक्षा एवं मतगणना	14.09.2017 प्रातः 11 वजे से
14	परिणाम की घोषणा	14.09.2017

आदेश द्वारा,
हस्ताक्षरित!—
निर्वाचन अधिकारी
केन्द्रीय भारतीय चिकित्सा परिषद निर्वाचन
शिमला-171002.

**OFFICE OF THE RETURNING OFFICER, INDIAN MEDICINE CENTRAL COUNCIL
ELECTION REGIONAL AYURVEDIC HOSPITAL, SHIMLA171002**

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-9, the 12th June, 2017

No. CCIM (Election) HP/1/016-23-55.—I, Dr, Raj Krishan Pruthi, Returning Officer (Election) Indian Medicine Central Council exercising powers vested in under clause (a) of Sub-section (1) of Section 3 & 7 of the Indian Medicine Central Council Election Rules, 1975, hereby frame and notify the Election Programme for the State of Himachal Pradesh to elect one member for the Indian Medicine Central Council, New Delhi as follow:—

S.No.	Programme	Date and Time
1.	Publication of draft electoral rolls	12.06.2017
2.	Notice for calling upon electors after publication of electoral rolls to be sent to All the Distt. Ayurvedic Offices in H.P.	13.06.2017
3.	Filling of objections against draft electoral rolls.	01.07.2017 to 06.07.2017
4.	Hearing of objection	07.07.2017
5.	Publication of final electoral rolls	18.07.2017
6.	Filling of the Nomination Papers by the Candidates for contest the election.	19.07.2017 to 22.07.2017
7.	Scrutiny of Nominations Papers	24.07.2017
8.	Withdrawal of nominations by the candidates	01.08.2017
9.	List of Valid candidates to be displayed as well as published in Official Gazette.	02.08.2017
10.	Time required for printing the material	11.08.2017
11.	Ballot papers dispatched to the Voters	14.08.2017 onwards
12.	Ballot paper received back by Returning Officer from the Voters.	13.09.2017 before 5, P.M
13.	Scrutiny/Counting of the Votes	14.09.2017 at 11. A.M
14.	Result declared after counting of the Votes immediately.	14.09.2017

By order,
Sd/-

*Returning Officer,
Indian Medicine Central Council Election
Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002.*

**ब अदालत जनाब श्री ज्ञान चन्द, तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,
इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0**

मिसल नं0 :

तारीख पेशी : 20-07-2017

श्रीमती राकेश कुमारी पत्नी श्री वलबिन्दर सिंह पुत्र चुनी लाल, निवासी डमटाल, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0 प्रार्थिया।

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

प्रार्थिया श्रीमती राकेश कुमारी पत्नी श्री वलबिन्दर सिंह पुत्र चुनी लाल, निवासी डमटाल, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0 ने प्रार्थना पत्र प्रस्तुत करते हुए निवेदन किया है कि मेरी पुत्री प्रियंका की जन्म तिथि 26-10-1994 ग्राम पंचायत डमटाल के अभिलेख में दर्ज न है जोकि दर्ज की जाए।

अतः इस इश्तहार राजपत्र के द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त जन्म पंजीकरण करने बारे किसी भी व्यक्ति को कोई एतराज हो तो वह असालतन या वकालतन दिनांक 20-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत हजा में उपस्थित होकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है। कोई एतराज पेश न होने की सूरत में जन्म पंजीकरण के आदेश पारित कर दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 20-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत सहित जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी
इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

**ब अदालत जनाब श्री जगत राम, नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,
इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0**

मिसल नं0 :

तारीख पेशी : 28-07-2017

श्रीमती कृष्णा देवी पत्नी स्व0 श्री प्रकाश चन्द, निवासी मोहटली, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0 प्रार्थिया।

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

प्रार्थिया श्रीमती कृष्णा देवी पत्नी स्व0 श्री प्रकाश चन्द, निवासी मोहटली, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0 ने प्रार्थना पत्र प्रस्तुत करते हुए निवेदन किया है कि उसके पति श्री प्रकाश चन्द पुत्र कुन्ज लाल की मृत्यु तिथि 18-09-2014 ग्राम पंचायत मोहटली के अभिलेख में दर्ज न है जोकि दर्ज की जाए।

अतः इस इश्तहार राजपत्र के द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त मृत्यु पंजीकरण करने बारे किसी भी व्यक्ति को कोई एतराज हो तो वह असालतन या वकालतन दिनांक 28-07-2017 को

प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत हजा में उपस्थित होकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है। कोई एतराज पेश न होने की सूरत में मृत्यु पंजीकरण के आदेश पारित कर दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 20-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत सहित जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,
इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत जनाब श्री सुशील कुमार, नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,
इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0

मिसल नं0 :

तारीख पेशी : 28-07-2017

1. श्री संजीव कुमार पुत्र श्री अवतार सिंह, निवासी मलकाना, डा0 पराल, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।
2. पूजा रानी पुत्री श्री जोध सिंह, निवासी चक मीरपुर, डा0 फतेहपुर, तहसील मुकेरियां, जिला होशियारपुर, पंजाब, हाल पत्नी श्री संजीव कुमार पुत्र श्री अवतार सिंह, निवासी मलकाना, डा0 पराल, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0 प्राथीगण

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना—पत्र जेर धारा 8(4) हिमाचल प्रदेश विवाह पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1996.

प्रार्थीगण श्री संजीव कुमार पुत्र श्री अवतार सिंह, निवासी मलकाना, डा0 पराल, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0 व पूजा रानी पुत्री श्री जोध सिंह, निवासी चक मीरपुर, डा0 फतेहपुर, तहसील मुकेरियां, जिला होशियारपुर, पंजाब, हाल पत्नी श्री संजीव कुमार पुत्र श्री अवतार सिंह, निवासी मलकाना, डा0 पराल, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0 ने प्रार्थना—पत्र प्रस्तुत करते हुये निवेदन किया है कि उसके अपने विवाह का पंजीकरण तिथि 11-11-2016 ग्राम पंचायत सुरडवां के अभिलेख में दर्ज न है जो कि दर्ज किया जाए।

अतः इस इशतहार राजपत्र के द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त विवाह पंजीकरण करने बारे किसी भी व्यक्ति को कोई एतराज हो तो वह असातन या वकालतन दिनांक 28-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत हजा में उपस्थित होकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है कोई एतराज पेश न होने की सूरत में विवाह पंजीकरण के आदेश पारित कर दिये जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 20-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत सहित जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,
इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

**ब अदालत नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, हारचकियां,
जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)**

श्री राजेश डोगरा पुत्र श्री सुदेश कुमार, निवासी महाल मनेई खास, मौजा मनेई, तहसील हारचकियां, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना पत्र सेहत नाम।

श्री राजेश डोगरा पुत्र श्री सुदेश कुमार, निवासी महाल मनेई खास, मौजा मनेई, तहसील हारचकियां, ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थना पत्र मय ब्यान हल्फी गुजारा है कि उसका नाम राजस्व अभिलेख पटवार वृत्त मनेई में नाम अजय कुमार है जोकि सही नहीं है सही नाम राजेश डोगरा पुत्र श्री सुदेश कुमार है।

अतः इस इश्तहार राजपत्र के माध्यम से सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो दिनांक 24-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे पेश कर सकता है बाद पेशी उजर व एतराज नहीं सुना जाएगा तथा राजस्व अभिलेख में नाम दरुस्ती अजय कुमार उर्फ राजेश डोगरा पुत्र सुदेश कुमार के आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 27-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व अदालत मोहर से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी
हारचकियां, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)।

**ब अदालत श्री अनिल चौहान, उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (नागरिक) चौपाल, तहसील चौपाल,
जिला शिमला (हिमाचल प्रदेश)**

श्रीमती कृष्णा परसाईक पत्नी श्री भागमल परसाईक, गांव रिंजट, परगना चन्दलोग, ग्राम पंचायत नेरुवा, तहसील चौपाल, जिला शिमला।

बनाम

- (1) आम जनता,
- (2) प्रधान ग्राम पंचायत नेरुवा, तहसील चौपाल, जिला शिमला

विषय.—प्रार्थिया के बच्चों का नाम व जन्म-तिथि ग्राम पंचायत नेरुवा के जन्म पंजीकरण रजिस्टर में दर्ज करवाए जाने बारे कि अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत जन्म पंजीकरण करने बारे।

हर खास व आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि प्रार्थिया श्रीमती कृष्णा परसाईक ने अधोहस्ताक्षरी के न्यायालय में एक आवेदन-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है कि उसने अपने बच्चों का नाम व जन्म तिथि ग्राम पंचायत नेरुवा के जन्म पंजीकरण रजिस्टर में दर्ज नहीं करवाया हैं, अब प्रार्थिया अपने बच्चों का नाम व जन्म तिथि ग्राम पंचायत नेरुवा के जन्म पंजीकरण रजिस्टर में दर्ज करवाना चाहती है, जोकि निम्न प्रकार से है :-

क्रम संख्या	नाम	सम्बन्ध	जन्म तिथि
1.	निखिल परसाईक	पुत्र	21-02-1996
2.	उशील परसाईक	पुत्री	25-12-1997

अतः ग्राम पंचायत नेरूवा तहसील चौपाल की जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उपरोक्त जन्म पंजीकरण बारे कोई आपत्ति हो तो तारीख 17-07-2017 को या इससे पूर्व असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत आकर अपनी आपत्ति प्रस्तुत करें अन्यथा आवेदन-पत्र पर जन्म पंजीकरण आदेश पारित करके सचिव, ग्राम पंचायत नेरूवा को आगामी कार्यान्वयन हेतु भेज दिया जायेगा।

आज दिनांक 17-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

अनिल चौहान,
उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (नागरिक),
चौपाल, तहसील चौपाल, जिला शिमला (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्री मोही राम चौहान, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, नेरूवा,
जिला शिमला, हि0 प्र0

श्री रमेश चन्द पुत्र श्री सन्त राम, निवासी मानगड, डा0 थंगाड, जिला शिमला, हि0 प्र0

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—जन्म रजिस्टर में बच्चों के नाम दर्ज करने बारे

हर खास व आम जनता को इस इश्तहार के माध्यम से सूचित किया जाता है कि श्री रमेश चन्द ने अदालते एक आवेदन-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है कि उसने अपने पुत्र व पुत्री की जन्म तिथि व नाम का इन्द्राज जन्म रजिस्टर, ग्राम पंचायत किरण में दर्ज नहीं करवाया है जिसे दर्ज करवाना चाहता है, जो कि इस प्रकार से है:-

कौसवी की जन्म तिथि 15-04-2012

अतः ग्राम पंचायत किरण, तहसील नेरूवा, जिला शिमला, हि0 प्र0 की जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त पंजीकरण बारे कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह अपना उजर असालतन या वकालतन जैसी भी सूरत हो दिनांक 28-07-2017 को अदालत हजा में हाजिर होकर पेश करें दिगर सूरत में आवेदन-पत्र में जन्म पंजीकरण आदेश पारित करके रजिस्ट्रार, जन्म एवं मृत्यु ग्राम पंचायत किरण को आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

मोही राम चौहान,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
नेरूवा, जिला शिमला (हि0 प्र0)।

**ब अदालत श्री मोही राम चौहान, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, नेरुवा,
जिला शिमला, हि० प्र०**

श्री मस्त राम पुत्र श्री पदम सिंह, निवासी सांडली, डा० देईया, जिला शिमला, हि० प्र०

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—जन्म रजिस्टर में बच्चों के नाम दर्ज करने बारे

हर खास व आम जनता को इस इश्तहार के माध्यम से सूचित किया जाता है कि श्री मस्त राम ने अदालत एक आवेदन—पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है कि उसने अपने पुत्र व पुत्री की जन्म तिथि व नाम का इन्द्राज जन्म रजिस्टर ग्राम पंचायत देईया में दर्ज नहीं करवाया है जिसे दर्ज करवाना चाहता है, जो कि इस प्रकार से है:—

रजत राठौर की जन्म तिथि 08-10-1996

अतः ग्राम पंचायत देईया तहसील नेरुवा, जिला शिमला, हि० प्र० की जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त पंजीकरण बारे कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह अपना उजर असालतन या वकालतन जैसी भी सूरत हो दिनांक 28-07-2017 को अदालत हजा में हाजिर होकर पेश करें दिगर सूरत में आवेदन—पत्र में जन्म पंजीकरण आदेश पारित करके रजिस्ट्रार, जन्म एवं मृत्यु ग्राम पंचायत देईया को आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

मोही राम चौहान,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
नेरुवा, जिला शिमला (हि० प्र०)।

**In the Court of Shri Gian Sagar Negi, Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (R),
District Shimla (H. P.)**

Tsamchoe w/o Shri Ngawang Dorjee, r/o D-102, Tibetan Colony Panthaghatti, Tehsil & District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.

Versus

General Public

. . Respondent.

Whereas Tsamchoe w/o Shri Ngawang Dorjee, r/o D-102, Tibetan Colony Panthaghatti, Tehsil & District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh has filed an application along with affidavit in the court of undersigned under section 13(3) of the Birth & Death Registration Act, 1969 to enter the date of birth of her son named—Mr. Tenzin Thardo s/o Tsamchoe w/o Shri Ngawang Dorjee, r/o D-102, Tibetan Colony Panthaghatti, Tehsil & District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh in the record of Secy., Birth and Death, Municipal Corporation, Shimla.

Sl. No.	Name of the family member	Relation	Date of Birth
1.	Mr. Tenzin Thardo	Son	05-09-1980

Hence, this proclamation is issued to the general public if they have any objection/claim regarding entry of the name & date of birth of above named in the record of Municipal Corporation, Shimla may file their claims/objections on or before one month of publication of this notice in Govt. Gazette in this court, failing which necessary orders will be passed.

Issued today on 03-07-2017 under my signature and seal of the court.

Seal.

GIAN SAGAR NEGI,
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (R), District Shimla.

**In the Court of Shri Ajit Bhardwaj, H.A.S., Sub Divisional Magistrate Shimla (Urban),
District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh**

Shri Tika Ram s/o Late Shri Durga Ram, r/o 6/1A, Dihar 1424 Bishan Solan, Tehsil and District Solan, H.P. . . Applicant.

Versus

General Public

.. Respondent.

Application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas Shri Tika Ram s/o Late Shri Durga Ram, r/o 6/1A, Dihar 1424 Bishan Solan, Tehsil and District Solan, H.P. has preferred an application to the undersigned for registration the date of birth of his niece namely Radha (DOB 10-3-2000) d/o Shri Vishnu Kumar in the record of Municipal Corporation, Shimla.

Therefore, this proclamation, the General Public is hereby informed that any person having any objection for entry as to date of birth mentioned above, may submit his objection in writing in this court on or before 30-7-2017 failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date and will be decided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal of the Court on this 30th day of June, 2017.

Seal.

AJIT BHARDWAJ,
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (Urban).

**In the Court of Shri Ajit Bhardwaj, H.A.S., Sub Divisional Magistrate Shimla (Urban),
District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh**

Miss Nirmla d/o Shri Prem, r/o Servent Qtrs. Bye Pass Road Boileauganj, Shimla, Tehsil and District Shimla, H.P. . . *Applicant.*

Versus

General Public

.. *Respondent.*

Application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas Miss Nirmla d/o Shri Prem, r/o Servent Qtrs. Bye Pass Road Boileauganj, Shimla, Tehsil and District Shimla, H.P. has preferred an application to the undersigned for registration of her date of birth *i.e* (DOB 10-5-1996) in the record of Municipal Corporation, Shimla.

Therefore, this proclamation, the General Public is hereby informed that any person having any objection for entry as to date of birth mentioned above, may submit his objection in writing in this court on or before 30-7-2017 failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date and will be decided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal of the Court on this 30th day of June, 2017.

Seal.

AJIT BHARDWAJ,
*Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (Urban).*

ब अदालत श्री मस्त राम कश्यप, तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील चढ़गांव,
जिला शिमला, हि0 प्र0

श्री परम सुख पुत्र श्री हन्सू निवासी दियूची, तहसील चढ़गांव, जिला शिमला, हि0 प्र0

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रार्थी श्री परम सुख पुत्र श्री हन्सू निवासी दियूची, तहसील चढ़गांव ने इस न्यायालय में राजस्व कागजात माल में नाम की दुरुस्ती हेतु एक प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है प्रार्थना-पत्र के साथ प्रार्थी ने शपथ पत्र, आधार कार्ड की नकल संलग्न की है, दस्तावेजों का अवलोकन किया गया। प्रार्थी का नाम महाल कागजात जडकोट में गलती से परम सुख की जगह परमा नन्द दर्ज हुआ है।

अतः उक्त नाम दुरुस्ती करने बारा सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति या रिश्तेदार को उक्त नाम की दुरुस्ती बारा कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह अपना एतराज दिनांक 20-07-2017 को प्रातः 10 बजे अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा राजस्व रिकार्ड में प्रार्थी का नाम श्री परमा नन्द की जगह परम सुख दुरुस्त करने के आदेश पारित किए जायेंगे।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
चढ़गांव।

**In the Court of Shri Ajit Bhardwaj, H.A.S., Sub Divisional Magistrate Shimla (Urban),
District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh**

Miss Shivanjli d/o Shri Sukh Dev Sharma, r/o House No. 52, Cinema Colony, Main Market
Bilaspur, Tehsil and District Bilaspur, H.P. . . Applicant.

Versus

General Public .. Respondent.

Application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas Miss Shivanjli d/o Shri Sukh Dev Sharma, r/o House No. 52, Cinema Colony, Main Market Bilaspur, Tehsil and District Bilaspur, H.P. has preferred an application to the undersigned for registration of her date of birth *i.e* (DOB 3-2-1987) in the record of Municipal Corporation, Shimla.

Therefore, this proclamation, the General Public is hereby informed that any person having any objection for entry as to date of birth mentioned above, may submit his objection in writing in this court on or before 3-8-2017 failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date and will be decided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal of the Court on this 3rd day of July, 2017.

Seal.

AJIT BHARDWAJ,
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (Urban).

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Shri Yogesh Kumar s/o Ravi Singh, r/o V.P.O. Tandoo, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P.
2. Smt. Dipti d/o Shri Khem Singh, r/o Village Katipri, P.O. & Tehsil Padhar, District Mandi, H.P. . . Applicants.

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Yogesh Kumar s/o Ravi Singh, r/o V.P.O. Tandoo, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P. and Smt. Dipti d/o Shri Khem Singh, r/o Village Katipri, P. O. & Tehsil Padhar, District Mandi,

H.P. (at present wife of Shri Yogesh Kumar s/o Ravi Singh, r/o V.P.O. Tandoo, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P.) have filed an application along with affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 19-06-2017 according to Hindu rites and customs at their respective houses and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 24-07-2017 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 23rd day of June, 2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Shri Lalit Kumar s/o Durga Dass, r/o Village Gheru, P.O. Tarnoh, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H.P.
2. Smt. Nisha Devi d/o Shri Kundan Lal, r/o Village Reoshi, P.O. Pangna, Sub-Tehsil Pangna, District Mandi, H. P. . . Applicants.

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Lalit Kumar s/o Durga Dass, r/o Village Gheru, P.O. Tarnoh, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H.P. and Smt. Nisha Devi d/o Shri Kundan Lal, r/o Village Reoshi, P.O. Pangna, Sub-Tehsil Pangna, District Mandi, H. P. (at present wife of Shri Lalit Kumar s/o Durga Dass, r/o Village Gheru, P.O. Tarnoh, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H.P.) have filed an application along with affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 28-02-2017 according to Hindu rites and customs at their respective houses and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 24-07-2017 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 23rd day of June, 2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-
Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).

**In the Court of Shri Raghav Sharma (I.A.S.), Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional
Magistrate, Chachyot at Gohar, District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Shri Jitender Kumar s/o Karam Singh, r/o Village Ghayan, P.O. Chail Chowk, Tehsil Chachyot, District Mandi (H.P.)
2. Smt. Pushpa Thakur d/o Hukam Singh, r/o Dhadwahan, P.O. Nerchowk, Tehsil Chachyot, District Mandi (H. P.) . . Applicants.

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Proclamation for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Jitender Kumar and Smt. Pushpa Thakur have filed an application on 29-06-2017 along with affidavits in the Court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 28-02-2017 and they are living as husband and wife since then and hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this Court on or before 31-07-2017 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 29-06-2017 under my hand and seal of the Court.

Seal.

Sd/-
Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Chachyot at Gohar, District Mandi (H.P.).

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Balh,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Shri Manish Kumar s/o Shri Ram Singh, Village Mandir Tanda, P.O. Lohara, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, H. P.
2. Smt. Ramna Devi d/o Shri Ram Avtar Singh, r/o Village & P. O. Jhajra, Tehsil Nalagarh, District Solan, H.P. at present wife of Manish Kumar s/o Shri Ram Singh, Village Mandir Tanda, P.O. Lohara, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, H. P. . . Applicants.

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Manish Kumar s/o Shri Ram Singh, Village Mandir Tanda, P.O. Lohara, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, HP and Smt. Ramna Devi d/o Shri Ram Avtar Singh, r/o Village & P. O. Jhajra, Tehsil Nalagarh, District Solan, HP at present wife of Shri Manish Kumar s/o Shri Ram Singh, Village Mandir Tanda, P.O. Lohara, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, HP have filed an application along with affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 10-10-2016 according to Hindu rites and customs at Village Mandir Tanda, P.O. Lohara, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, HP and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 27-07-2017. After that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 28th day of June, 2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-
Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Balh, District Mandi (H.P.).

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Balh,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Shri Rajnish Kumar s/o Shri Raj Kumar, Village Balhi (Hawani), P.O. Rewalsar, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, HP.

2. Smt. Reeta Devi d/o Shri Biri Singh, r/o Village Kash, P.O. Gehra, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. at present wife of Shri Rajnish Kumar s/o Shri Raj Kumar, Village Balhi (Hawani), P.O. Rewalsar, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, HP. . . Applicants.

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Rajnish Kumar s/o Shri Raj Kumar, Village Balhi (Hawani), P.O. Rewalsar, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, HP. and Smt. Reeta Devi d/o Shri Biri Singh, r/o Village Kash, P.O. Gehra, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, HP at present wife of Shri Rajnish Kumar s/o Shri Raj Kumar, Village Balhi (Hawani), P.O. Rewalsar, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, HP have filed an application along with affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 8-12-2015 according to Hindu rites and customs at Village Balhi (Hawani), P.O. Rewalsar, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, HP and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 27-07-2017. After that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 28th day of June, 2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-
Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Balh, District Mandi (H.P.).

ब अदालत श्री हिरा सिंह सिमर, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील नौहराधार,
जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)

श्रीमती लक्ष्मी देवी पत्नी श्री रणदीप सिंह, निवासी ठठारना, तहसील नौहराधार, जिला सिरमौर, हि0प्र0
... प्रार्थिन।

बनाम

आम जनता

... प्रतिवादीगण।

उपरोक्त प्रार्थना—पत्र श्रीमती लक्ष्मी देवी पत्नी श्री रणदीप सिंह, निवासी ठठारना, तहसील नौहराधार, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 ने अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत प्रस्तुत

करके प्रार्थना की है कि उनके पुत्र मानस पुंडीर जिसकी जन्म तिथि 11-06-2013 है, का नाम ग्राम पंचायत नौहराधार के रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं करवाया गया है। जिसे प्रार्थन अब दर्ज करवाना चाहती है।

अब सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा मिति 24-07-2017 को सुबह दस बजे अदालत में उपस्थित आकर एतराज प्रस्तुत करें, बसूरत दीगर मानस पुंडीर का नाम एवं जन्म तिथि को दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 19-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
तहसील नौहराधार, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत श्री आर0 डी0 हरनोट, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार) नाहन,
जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0

श्री दीप चन्द पुत्र श्री अमर सिंह, निवासी ग्राम कून, डा0 व ग्राम पंचायत रामाधौण, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

बनाम

आम जनता

श्री दीप चन्द पुत्र श्री अमर सिंह, निवासी ग्राम कून, डा0 व ग्राम पंचायत रामाधौण, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 ने अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत आवेदन-पत्र प्रस्तुत करके प्रार्थना की है कि उसकी पुत्री आस्था ठाकुर की जन्म तिथि 01-01-2012 है, जो ग्राम पंचायत रामाधौण, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 के रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई है, जिसे प्रार्थी अब दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा मिति 25-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत में उपस्थित आकर प्रस्तुत करें बसूरत दीगर आस्था ठाकुर पुत्री श्री दीप चन्द व श्रीमती सलोचाना देवी की जन्म तिथि 01-01-2012 को दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

आर0 डी0 हरनोट,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार),
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत श्री आर0 डी0 हरनोट, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार) नाहन,
जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0

श्री राज कुमार राणा पुत्र श्री हरी दत्त राणा, निवासी ग्राम कौंथरों, डा0 व ग्राम पंचायत बिक्रमबाग, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

बनाम

आम जनता

श्री राज कुमार राणा पुत्र श्री हरी दत्त राणा, निवासी ग्राम कौथरों, डा0 व ग्राम पंचायत बिक्रमबाग, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 ने अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत आवेदन-पत्र प्रस्तुत करके प्रार्थना की है कि उसकी पुत्री मोनिका राणा पुत्री राज कुमार राणा व श्रीमती स्नेह लता राणा की जन्म तिथि 02-08-1992 व सिमरन राणा पुत्री राज कुमार राणा व श्रीमती स्नेह लता राणा की जन्म तिथि 21-02-1995 है, जो ग्राम पंचायत बिक्रमबाग तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 के रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई है, जिसे प्रार्थी अब दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा मिति 25-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे इस अदालत में उपस्थित आकर प्रस्तुत करें बसूरत दीगर मोनिका राणा पुत्री राज कुमार राणा व श्रीमती स्नेह लता राणा की जन्म तिथि 02-08-1992 व सिमरन राणा पुत्री राज कुमार राणा व श्रीमती स्नेह लता राणा की जन्म तिथि 21-02-1995 को दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

आर0 डी0 हरनोट,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार),
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत श्री आर0 डी0 हरनोट, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार) नाहन,
जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0

श्री सोनाथ पुत्र श्री हरी राम, निवासी ग्राम खैरी, डा0 व ग्राम पंचायत कालाअम्ब, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

बनाम

आम जनता

श्री सोनाथ पुत्र श्री हरी राम, निवासी ग्राम खैरी, डा0 व ग्राम पंचायत कालाअम्ब, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 ने अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत आवेदन-पत्र प्रस्तुत करके प्रार्थना की है कि उसकी जन्म तिथि 06-06-1974 है, जो ग्राम पंचायत कालाअम्ब, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 के रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई है, जिसे प्रार्थी अब दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा मिति 25-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत में उपस्थित आकर प्रस्तुत करें बसूरत दीगर सोमनाथ पुत्र हरी राम व श्रीमती जमीरो देवी की जन्म तिथि 06-06-1974 को दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

आर0 डी0 हरनोट,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार),
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

**ब अदालत श्री आर० डी० हरनोट, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार) नाहन,
जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र०**

श्री गुरमैल सिंह पुत्र श्री बाबू राम, निवासी ग्राम रामपुर जटान, डा० व ग्राम पंचायत कालाअम्ब, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र०।

बनाम

आम जनता

श्री गुरमैल सिंह पुत्र श्री बाबू राम, निवासी ग्राम रामपुर जटान, डा० व ग्राम पंचायत कालाअम्ब, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र० ने अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत आवेदन-पत्र प्रस्तुत करके प्रार्थना की है कि उसकी पुत्री रूबी देवी की जन्म तिथि 13-01-1995 है, जो ग्राम पंचायत कालाअम्ब, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र० के रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई है, जिसे प्रार्थी अब दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा मिति 25-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत में उपस्थित आकर प्रस्तुत करें बसूरत दीगर रूबी देवी पुत्री गुरमैल सिंह व श्रीमती गुरमेज कौर की जन्म तिथि 13-01-1995 को दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

आर० डी० हरनोट,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार),
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र०।

**ब अदालत श्री आर० डी० हरनोट, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार) नाहन,
जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र०**

राजदुलारी पुत्री श्री शमशेर सिंह, निवासी ग्राम मीरपुर गुरुद्वारा, डा० व ग्राम पंचायत त्रिलोकपुर, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र०।

बनाम

आम जनता

राजदुलारी पुत्री श्री शमशेर सिंह, निवासी ग्राम मीरपुर गुरुद्वारा, डा० व ग्राम पंचायत त्रिलोकपुर, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र० ने अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत आवेदन-पत्र प्रस्तुत करके प्रार्थना की है कि उसकी जन्म तिथि 28-01-1971 है, जो ग्राम पंचायत त्रिलोकपुर, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र० के रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई है, जिसे प्रार्थी अब दर्ज करवाना चाहती है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा मिति 25-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत में उपस्थित आकर प्रस्तुत करें बसूरत दीगर राजदुलारी पुत्री शमशेर सिंह व श्रीमती जयमन्त्री की जन्म तिथि 28-01-1971 को दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

आर० डी० हरनोट,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार),
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र०।

ब अदालत श्री आर० डी० हरनोट, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार) नाहन,
जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र०

श्री बलबन्त सिंह पुत्र श्री चमेल सिंह, निवासी ग्राम उतमवाला, डा० व ग्राम पंचायत कोलर, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र०।

बनाम

आम जनता

श्री बलबन्त सिंह पुत्र श्री चमेल सिंह, निवासी ग्राम उतमवाला, डा० व ग्राम पंचायत कोलर, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र० ने अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत आवेदन-पत्र प्रस्तुत करके प्रार्थना की है कि उसकी पुत्री खुशी की जन्म तिथि 25-09-2012 है, जो ग्राम पंचायत कोलर, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र० के रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई है, जिसे प्रार्थी अब दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा मिति 25-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत में उपस्थित आकर प्रस्तुत करें बसूरत दीगर खुशी पुत्री बलबन्त सिंह व श्रीमती सलोचना देवी की जन्म तिथि 25-09-2012 को दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

आर० डी० हरनोट,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार),
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र०।

ब अदालत श्री आर० डी० हरनोट, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार) नाहन,
जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र०

श्री राजदीप पुत्र श्री राजिन्द्र सिंह, निवासी 660 ग्राम गुधान, डा० व तहसील कलनौर, जिला रोहतक, हरियाणा।

बनाम

आम जनता

श्री राजदीप पुत्र श्री राजिन्द्र सिंह, निवासी 660 ग्राम गुधान, डा0 व तहसील कलनौर, जिला रोहतक, हरियाणा ने अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत आवेदन-पत्र प्रस्तुत करके प्रार्थना की है कि उसकी पुत्री प्रिया तोमर की जन्म तिथि 23-01-2002 है, जो नगरपालिका परिषद् नाहन, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 के रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई है, जिसे प्रार्थी अब दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा मिति 25-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत में उपस्थित आकर प्रस्तुत करें बसूरत दीगर प्रिया तोमर पुत्री राजदीप व श्रीमती सन्तोष की जन्म तिथि 23-01-2002 को दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

आर0 डी0 हरनोट,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार),
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी (तहसीलदार) नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0

मिसल नं0 : 12/2017

तारीख मरजुआ : 01-06-2017

श्रीमती लाजमन्ती पत्नी स्व0 श्री इन्द्र सिंह, निवासी मझोली, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0

बनाम

आम जनता

आवेदन-पत्र नाम दरुस्ती इन्द्राज वाका मौजा मझोली, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0

श्रीमती लाजमन्ती पत्नी स्व0 श्री इन्द्र सिंह, निवासी मझोली, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 ने इस अदालत में आवेदन पत्र पेश किया है कि पटवार वृत्त नाहन ग्रामीण के राजस्व कागजात माल में अराजी खाता खतौनी नं0 89/118 में मालिक अराजी है। राजस्व कागजात में प्रार्थिया का नम लज्जा देवी दर्ज है जबकि पंचायत की नकल परिवार रजिस्टर नकल, वोटर कार्ड, आधार कार्ड व राशन कार्ड में प्रार्थिया का नाम लाजमन्ती पत्नी स्व0 श्री इन्द्र सिंह है। इस बारे प्रार्थिया ने नकल जमाबन्दी, पंचायत की नकल परिवार रजिस्टर, नकल वोटर कार्ड, आधार कार्ड व राशन कार्ड की छायाप्रति प्रस्तुत की है। मिसल के अवलोकन से पाया गया कि उक्त प्रार्थिया का नाम कागजात माल में दरुस्त किया जाना उचित होगा।

अतः इस इशतहार मुन्त्री मुनादी द्वारा जनसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि जिस किसी को प्रार्थिया का नाम श्रीमती लज्जा देवी पत्नी स्व0 श्री इन्द्र सिंह के स्थान पर लाजमन्ती पत्नी स्व0 श्री इन्द्र सिंह, निवासी मझोली, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 के दरुस्ती बारे कोई आपत्ति हो तो दिनांक 25-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालतन व वकालतन नामा इस अदालत में हाजिर आकर अपना पक्ष प्रस्तुत कर सकते हैं। गैरहाजिरी की सूरत में कार्यवाही एकतरफा अमल में लाई जावेगी। बाद में किसी का कोई उजर/एतराज मान्य न होगा।

आज दिनांक 24-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,
तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी (तहसीलदार) नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0

मिसल नं0 : 06/2017

तारीख मरजुआ : 23-03-2017

श्री भूषण चन्द उर्फ भूषण सिंह पुत्र श्री अमर चन्द, निवासी ऑवलीवाला गाडा (भेडीवाला), डा0 शम्भुवाला, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0

बनाम

आम जनता

राजस्व रिकार्ड में नाम दुरुस्ती बारे प्रार्थना पत्र वाका मौजा ऑवलीवाला गाडा, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

श्री भूषण चन्द उर्फ भूषण सिंह पुत्र श्री अमर चन्द, निवासी ऑवलीवाला गाडा (भेडीवाला), डा0 शम्भुवाला, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 ने इस अदालत में आवेदन पत्र पेश किया है कि पटवार वृत्त नाहन-III के राजस्व कागजात माल में अराजी खाता खतौनी नं0 2/2 मौजा ऑवलीवाला गाडा, तहसील नाहन में मालिक अराजी है। जिसमें प्रार्थी का नाम भूषण दर्ज चला आ रहा है। विद्यालय प्रमाण पत्र के मुताबिक भूषण चन्द दर्ज चला आ रहा है तथा इनके बच्चों के विद्यालय प्रमाण पत्र में भूषण सिंह दर्ज चला आ रहा है। प्रार्थी अब अपना नाम भूषण चन्द उर्फ भूषण सिंह दर्ज करवाना चाहता है। इस बारे प्रार्थी ने आधार कार्ड व विद्यालय प्रमाण पत्रों व जमाबन्दी की छायाप्रति प्रस्तुत की है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि जिस किसी को प्रार्थी का नाम भूषण चन्द उर्फ भूषण सिंह पुत्र श्री अमर चन्द, निवासी ऑवलीवाला गाडा (भेडीवाला), डा0 शम्भुवाला, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 के नाम दुरुस्ती बारे कोई आपत्ति हो तो दिनांक 25-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असालतन व वकालतन नामा इस अदालत में हाजिर आकर अपना पक्ष प्रस्तुत कर सकते हैं। गैरहाजिरी की सूरत में कार्यवाही एकतरफा अमल में लाई जावेगी। बाद में किसी का कोई उजर/एतराज मान्य न होगा।

आज दिनांक 24-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,
तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

**In the Court of Shri H. S. Rana, H.A.S., Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate
Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh**

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 16 OF SPECIAL MARRIAGE ACT, 1954

In the matter of :

1. Shri Anmol Rattan Sharma s/o Shri Ramesh Pal Sharma, r/o VPO Majra, Tehsil Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur, H.P.
2. Smt. Reeta Sharma d/o Shri Khaginder Singh, r/o Village Seri, PO Galanag, Tehsil & District Solan, H.P.

Versus

General Public

Application for the registration of Marriage under Section 16 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 (Central Act) as amended by Marriage Laws (Amendment Act 01, 49 of 2001).

Shri Anmol Rattan Sharma s/o Shri Ramesh Pal Sharma, r/o VPO Majra, Tehsil Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur, H.P. Smt. Reeta Sharma d/o Shri Khaginder Singh, r/o Village Seri, PO Galanag, Tehsil & District Solan, H.P. have filed an application alongwith affidavits in this court under section 16 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 on dated 23-06-2017 stating therein that they have solemnized their marriage on 17-10-2004 at their residence Village Majra, Tehsil Paonta Sahib and they have living together as husband and wife ever since then. Hence notices are given to all concerned and general public to this effect that if any body have any objection regarding the registration of marriage duly solemnized on 17-10-2004 between Shri Anmol Rattan Sharma s/o Shri Ramesh Pal Sharma, r/o VPO Majra, Tehsil Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur, H.P. Smt. Reeta Sharma d/o Shri Khaginder Singh, r/o Village Seri, PO Galanag, Tehsil & District Solan, H.P. he should file written objections and appear personally or through an authorized agent before this court within 30 days from the date of issue of this notice. After expiry of the said period, the marriage certificate would be issued to the applicants by this court.

Issued under my hand and office seal of this court on 23-06-2017.

Seal.

HARI SINGH RANA (HAS),
*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur.*

**In the Court of Shri H. S. Rana, H.A.S., Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate
Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh**

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 16 OF SPECIAL MARRIAGE ACT, 1954

In the matter of :

1. Shri Naveen Mishra s/o Shri Pitamber Dutt Mishra, r/o House No. 264 Pratap Khand Vishwarkarm Nagar, Jhilmil Colony Delhi.

2. Smt. Deepti Maithani d/o Shri Rameshwar Prashad Maithani, r/o House No. 190 ward No. 10 Devi Nagar, Paonta Sahib, District Sirmour, H.P.

Versus

General Public

Application for the registration of Marriage under Section 16 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 (Central Act) as amended by Marriage Laws (Amendment Act 01, 49 of 2001).

Shri Naveen Mishra s/o Shri Pitamber Dutt Mishra, r/o House No. 264 Pratap Khand Vishwarkarm Nagar, Jhilmil Colony Delhi and Smt. Deepti Maithani d/o Shri Rameshwar Prashad Maithani, r/o House No. 190 ward No. 10 Devi Nagar, Paonta Sahib, District Sirmour, H.P. have filed an application alongwith affidavits in this court under section 16 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 on dated 24-06-2017 stating therein that they have solemnized their marriage on 01-12-2009 at their residence Taruwala, Paonta Sahib and they have been living together as husband and wife ever since then. Hence notices are given to all concerned and general public to this effect that if any body have any objection regarding the registration of marriage duly solemnized on 01-12-2009 between Shri Naveen Mishra s/o Shri Pitamber Dutt Mishra, r/o House No. 264 Pratap Khand Vishwarkarm Nagar, Jhilmil Colony Delhi and Smt. Deepti Maithani d/o Shri Rameshwar Prashad Maithani, r/o House No. 190 ward No. 10 Devi Nagar, Paonta Sahib, District Sirmour, H.P. he should file written objections and appear personally or through an authorized agent before this court within 30 days from the date of issue of this notice. After expiry of the said period, the marriage certificate would be issued to the applicants by this court.

Issued under my hand and office seal of this court on 24-06-2017.

Seal.

HARI SINGH RANA (HAS),
Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Paonta Sahib, District Sirmour.

**Before Shri Keshav Ram, Executive Magistrate-cum-(Tehsildar),
Kasauli, District Solan, H. P.**

Case No. : 09/ 2017

Date of Institution
06-02-2017

Shri Dorja Phunchog son of Shri Chhulim Phunchog, resident of Village and P.O. Kaza, Tehsil Spiti, District Lahaul Spiti, H. P. . . Applicant.

Versus

General Public

..Respondents.

Application under Section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Shri Dorja Phunchog son of Shri Chhulim Phunchog, resident of Village and P.O. Kaza, Tehsil Spiti, District Lahaul Spiti, H. P. has moved an application before the undersigned under section 13(3) of Birth & Death Registration Act, 1969 alongwith affidavits and other documents

stating therein that his uncle (Chacha) of late Miss Dolma Butit daughter of Late Shri Panchi Ram Bhanu, Village and P.O. Kaza, Tehsil Spiti, District Lahaul & Spiti, H.P. was died on 17-04-2014 near Bangala Colony, P.S. Dharampur, Tehsil Kasauli, District Solan but his date of death could not be entered by the applicant.

Therefore, by this proclamation the general public is hereby informed that any person having any objection for the registration of delayed date of death of Miss Dolma, may submit their objections in writing in this court on or before 24-07-2017 at 10-00 AM failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date.

Given under my hand and seal of the court on this 20th day of June, 2017.

Seal.

KESHAV RAM,
*Executive Magistrate (Tehsildar),
Kasauli, District Solan, H. P.*

**Before Shri Keshav Ram, Executive Magistrate-cum-(Tehsildar),
Kasauli, District Solan, H. P.**

Case No. : 22/ 2017

Date of Institution
24-05-2017

Shri Prem Karki son of Shri Man Bahadure Karki, resident of Village & P.O. Jadla, Tehsil Kasauli, District Solan, H. P. . . Applicant.

Versus

General Public

..Respondents.

Application under Section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Shri Prem Karki son of Shri Man Bahadure Karki, resident of Village & P.O. Jadla, Tehsil Kasauli, District Solan, H. P. has moved an application before the undersigned under section 13(3) of Birth & Death Registration Act, 1969 alongwith affidavits and other documents stating therein that his son Sourabh Karki was born on 07-09-1998 at Vilage Baniyara, P.O. Malera, Tehsil Kasauli District Solan but his date of birth could not be entered by the applicant.

Therefore, by this proclamation the general public is hereby informed that any person having any objection for the registration of delayed date of birth of Sourabh Karki, may submit their objections in writing in this court on or before 24-07-2017 at 10-00 AM failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date.

Given under my hand and seal of the court on this 20th day of June, 2017.

Seal.

KESHAV RAM,
*Executive Magistrate (Tehsildar),
Kasauli, District Solan, H. P.*

निर्वाचन विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171009, 10 जुलाई, 2017

संख्या: 3-1/2017-ई.एल.एन.-II.—भारत निर्वाचन आयोग की अधिसूचना संख्या 479/2017 दिनांक 10 जुलाई, 2017 तदनुसार 19 आषाढ़, 1939 (शक) जो कि भारत के राष्ट्रपति पद के लिए निर्वाचन के संचालन के सम्बन्ध में राष्ट्रपतीय और उप-राष्ट्रपतीय निर्वाचन नियम, 1974 के नियम 7 के अधीन दिनांक 14 जून, 2017 को भारत निर्वाचन आयोग द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 479/2017(2) से सम्बन्धित है, को अंग्रेजी रूपान्तर सहित जनसाधारण की सूचना हेतु प्रकाशित किया जाता है।

आदेश से,
मुख्य निर्वाचन अधिकारी,
हिमाचल प्रदेश।

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग
निर्वाचन सदन, अशोक रोड, नई दिल्ली-110001

अधिसूचना

दिनांक : 10 जुलाई, 2017
19 आषाढ़, 1939 (शक)

सं०: 479/2017.—यतः, भारत निर्वाचन आयोग ने राष्ट्रपतीय निर्वाचन, 2017 के संचालन के संबंध में राष्ट्रपतीय और उप-राष्ट्रपतीय निर्वाचन नियम 1974, के नियम 7 के अधीन दिनांक 14 जून, 2017 को जारी अपनी अधिसूचना सं. 479/2017 (2) के द्वारा मतदान का स्थान व निर्वाचकों का समूह, जो उन मतदान स्थलों पर मतदान करने का पात्र होगा, उनको अधिसूचित कर दिया है; और

यतः, उक्त अधिसूचना की टिप्पणी में मतदान की तारीख से 10 दिन पहले की सीमा उस रूप में निर्धारित की गई है, जिससे पहले कोई भी संसद सदस्य/विधान सभा सदस्य किसी विशेष परिस्थिति के कारण यदि उनके समूह के लिए अधिसूचित मतदान-स्थल के अलावा अन्य मतदान स्थल पर मतदान करना चाहता हो तो उसे निर्धारित प्रपत्र में भारत निर्वाचन आयोग को सीधे आवेदन करते हुए सूचित करना होगा; और

यतः, आयोग ने अत्यन्त तात्कालिक स्थिति के मामले में 10 दिन पूर्व सूचना की उपर्युक्त शर्त को उदार बनाने का निर्णय लिया है;

अतः, अब आयोग ने यह निर्णय लिया है कि उपर्युक्त प्रयोजन के लिए आयोग में मतदान की तारीख से 10 दिन पूर्व की अवधि के पश्चात् भी प्राप्त अनुरोध, यदि कोई है, तो आयोग द्वारा उस पर व्यक्तिगत मामले की स्थिति के आधार पर विचार किया जा सकता है और आयोग ऐसे संसद सदस्य/विधान सभा सदस्य को उनके समूह के लिए अधिसूचित स्थान के अलावा अन्य मतदान स्थल पर मतदान की अनुमति दे सकता है, यदि इस संबंध में आवश्यक व्यवस्था करने के लिए पर्याप्त समय उपलब्ध हो।

आदेश से,
वरिन्दर कुमार,
प्रधान सचिव।

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

NOTIFICATION

Dated, the 10th July, 2017
19 Ashadha, 1939 (Saka)

No. 479/2017.—Whereas, the Election Commission of India, in connection with conduct of Presidential Election, 2017, has notified under Rule 7 of the Presidential and Vice-Presidential Elections Rules, 1974, vide its Notification No. 479/2017(2) dated 14th June, 2017, the Place of polling and Group of electors who will be entitled to vote at those places of poll; and

Whereas, in the Note to the said Notification, a limit of 10 days before the date of poll has been fixed, before which any Member of Parliament/Member of Legislative Assembly, on account of exigency for special circumstances, has to intimate if he desires to vote at place of polling other than the one notified for his/her group, by directly applying to the Election Commission of India in the prescribed format; and

Whereas, the Commission has decided to liberalise the above said stipulation of 10 days' prior intimation in case of extreme urgent situation;

Now, therefore, the Commission has decided that the requests for the aforesaid purpose, received in the Commission, even after 10 days before the date of poll, if any, may be considered by the Commission based upon the circumstances of individual case and allow such Member of Parliament/Member of Legislative Assembly to cast vote at place of polling other than the one notified for his/her group, if there is sufficient time available for making necessary arrangements in this regard.

By order,
VARINDER KUMAR,
Principal Secretary.